

Chicago, IL 60606

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,458	07/07/2003	Scott Schroeder	02-953	7578
7590 09/20/2004			EXAMINER	
S. Richard Carden			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32nd Floor		f	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 S. Wacker Drive			3744	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IX				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
	10/614,458	SCHROEDER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ristatute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of the oath or declaration is objected to by the company of t	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objecto the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-6-2003	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the boil-off vapor being combined with the recovery tower overhead of claims 3,6,9 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 3744

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,380 in view of Key et al. Applicants' earlier patent (different inventive entity, but commonly assigned at time of invention), claims the same invention as the present application, a system which splits a stream of LNG, heats a portion of the stream and uses the not heated stream as reflux and the heated stream as a feed stream, using the bottom product thereof as the feed to a second column and using the overhead from the separation columns to provide the heating to the split portion of the LNG, substantially as claimed with the exception of compressing the bottom product from the first column, heat exchanging it with the overhead from the second column and then feeding the bottom product from the first column into the second column. Key et al shows this feature to be old in the art with bottom product 10 being compressed and passed through heat exchanger 38, which is also passed through by overhead 14 from column 56 which the bottom stream 10 becomes a feed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Key et al

Art Unit: 3744

to modify the earlier patented claims by adding the bottoms from the first column are compressed, heat exchanged and fed to the second column to improve the separation efficiency of the system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stone et al, McCartney and Petit show LNG processing systems which condense product streams while heating the LNG. Trebble and Mak show multiple column systems which feed overhead from one column to the other after heat exchange.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (703) 308-0696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD